

BOUNDARY AMENABILITY OF RELATIVELY HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

NARUTAKA OZAWA

ABSTRACT. Let K be a fine hyperbolic graph and Γ be a group acting on K with finite quotient. We prove that Γ is exact provided that all vertex stabilizers are exact. In particular, a relatively hyperbolic group is exact if all its peripheral groups are exact. We prove this by showing that the group Γ acts amenably on a compact topological space. We include some applications to the theories of group von Neumann algebras and of measurable orbit equivalence relations.

1. INTRODUCTION

A discrete group is said to be *exact* if it acts amenably on some compact topological space [GH][Oz1]. Higson and Roe [HR] proved that this property is equivalent to the property A of Yu [Yu] and is a coarse equivalence invariant. They also proved that a group with finite asymptotic dimension is exact. It was proved by Adams [Ad] that the boundary action of a hyperbolic group on its ideal boundary is amenable and hence hyperbolic groups are exact. (See [AR][Ka] for simpler proofs.) In this paper, we study the case of relatively hyperbolic groups. The notion of relative hyperbolicity was proposed by Gromov [Gr1] and has been developed in [Bo][DS][Fa][Os1], just to name a few. In particular, Bowditch [Bo] introduced the notion of a fine hyperbolic graph K and studied its compactification ΔK . Bowditch characterized a relatively hyperbolic group as a group which admits a suitable action on a fine hyperbolic graph K . We will prove the following generalization of Adams's and Kaimanovich's theorems [Ad][Ka].

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a group acting on a countable fine hyperbolic graph K with finite quotient. Let Y be a compact space on which Γ acts. We assume that, for every vertex x in K , the restricted action of the vertex stabilizer Γ^x on Y is amenable. Then, the diagonal action of Γ on $\Delta K \times Y$ is amenable.*

We include the Hausdorff property in the definition of compactness and assume that group actions on topological spaces are continuous. We note that if Λ is an

Date: April 1, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F67; Secondary 46L10, 37A20.

Key words and phrases. Fine hyperbolic graph, relatively hyperbolic groups, exactness, amenable action.

The author was partly supported by JSPS.

exact subgroup of Γ , then the left multiplication action of Λ on the Stone-Ćech compactification $\beta\Gamma$ of Γ is amenable. Since a tree is a fine hyperbolic graph, we obtain Dykema's theorem [Dy] as a corollary. (See also [Tu].)

Corollary 2. *Amalgamated free products of exact groups are exact.*

Bowditch's characterization of relatively hyperbolic groups implies the following.

Corollary 3. *Let Γ be a finitely generated group which is hyperbolic relative to a family of subgroups $\mathcal{G}$. Then, Γ is exact provided that each element of $\mathcal{G}$ is exact.*

Alternative proofs of this corollary are obtained by Dadarlat and Guentner [DG] and Osin [Os2]. This result should be compared with Osin's result [Os2] on finiteness of asymptotic dimension. Osin kindly pointed out that the results need not be true for weakly relatively hyperbolic groups; the boundedly generated universal finitely presented group constructed in [Os2] is not exact since there exists a finitely presented group that is not exact [Gr2].

Amenable action has several applications. Besides those follow from exactness (e.g., the Novikov conjecture [Yu][Hi]), there are applications to the classification of orbit equivalence relations (this was the motivation of Adams [Ad]) as well as the classification of group von Neumann algebras. For instance, we show that a group which is hyperbolic relative to a family of amenable groups satisfies the property (AO) defined in [Oz2] (and is in the class $\mathcal{C}$ defined in [Oz3]). Hence, the relevant results in [Oz2][OP][Oz3] are applicable to such G . Examples of such groups include the fundamental groups of complete non-compact finite-volume Riemannian manifolds with pinched negative sectional curvature (which are hyperbolic relative to nilpotent cusp subgroups) [Fa] and limit groups (which are hyperbolic relative to maximal non-cyclic abelian subgroups) [Da2][Ab].

2. FINE HYPERBOLIC GRAPHS

In this section, we collect several facts on a fine hyperbolic graph K and its compactification ΔK . Most of them are taken from [Bo].

Let K be a graph with vertex set $V(K)$ and edge set $E(K)$. (We allow no loops nor multiple edges). A *path* of length n connecting $x, y \in V$ is a sequence $x_0x_1 \cdots x_n$ of vertices, with $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = y$, and with each x_i equal to or adjacent to x_{i+1} . A *circuit* is a closed path ($x_0 = x_n$) such that all $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$ are distinct. For a finite path $\alpha = x_0x_1 \cdots x_n$, we set $\alpha_- = x_0$, $\alpha_+ = x_n$ and $\alpha(k) = x_k$.

We put a path metric d on $V(K)$, where $d(x, y)$ is the length of shortest path in K connecting x to y . We assume the graph K is connected so that $d(x, y) < \infty$ for every pair of vertices. A finite or infinite path α is *geodesic* if $d(\alpha(m), \alpha(n)) = |m - n|$ for all m, n . For any three point $x, y, z \in V(K)$, we define the Gromov product by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_z = \frac{1}{2}(d(x, z) + d(y, z) - d(x, y)).$$

Definition 4. We say the graph K is *hyperbolic* if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that every geodesic triangle is δ -thin; for any geodesic paths α and β with $\alpha_- = \beta_- = z$ and any $k \leq \langle \alpha_+, \beta_+ \rangle_z$, we have $d(\alpha(k), \beta(k)) < \delta$.

We say the graph K is *fine* if for any n and any edge $e \in E(K)$, the set $C(e, n)$ of circuits of length at most n containing the edge e is finite.

From now on, we assume that K is a countable hyperbolic graph which is *uniformly fine*, i.e., for any n , we have $\sup_{e \in E(K)} |C(e, n)| < \infty$. We note that any fine graph which admits a group action with finite quotient is uniformly fine. Two infinite geodesic paths α and β are *equivalent* if their Hausdorff distance is finite. The Gromov boundary ∂K of K is defined as the set of all equivalence classes of infinite geodesic paths in K . We write $\Delta K = V(K) \cup \partial K$. For an infinite geodesic path $\alpha = x_0 x_1 \cdots$, we denote by α_+ the boundary point that is represented by α . For a biinfinite geodesic path $\alpha = \cdots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \cdots$, we likewise denote by α_- the boundary point that is represented by the geodesic path $x_0 x_{-1} x_{-2} \cdots$. In any case, we say α connects α_- to α_+ . For every $x, y \in \Delta K$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ the set of all geodesic paths which connects x to y .

Lemma 5. *For any $x, y \in \Delta K$, we have $\mathcal{F}(x, y) \neq \emptyset$.*

For every $x \in \Delta K$ and a finite subset $A \subset V(K)$, we define

$$M(x, A) = \{z \in \Delta K : \text{any } \alpha \in \mathcal{F}(x, z) \text{ does not intersect with } A \setminus \{x\}\}$$

The following is Bowditch's theorem (Section 8 in [Bo]).

Theorem 6. *The family $\{M(x, A)\}_A$ defines a neighborhood base for $x \in \Delta K$. With this topology, ΔK is a compact topological space, in which $V(K)$ is dense. Every graph automorphism on K uniquely extends to a homeomorphism on ΔK .*

We note that ∂K is a Borel subset in ΔK since it is G_δ . We claim that every $M(x, A)$ is open. For simplicity, we just prove that for every $x, y \in V(K)$, the set

$$T(x, y) = M(x, \{y\})^c = \{z \in \Delta K : \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{F}(x, z) \text{ such that } y \in \alpha\}$$

is closed. Let $(z_n)_n$ be a sequence in $T(x, y)$ which converges to z in ΔK . Let α_n be a geodesic path connecting x to z_n which passes on y . Let l be the largest integer (possibly ∞) such that there exists $w \in V(K)$ with $\{n : \alpha_n(l) = w\}$ is infinite. If l is finite, then w is a limit point of the sequence $(z_n)_n$. (To see this, use the equivalent topology defined by $\{M'(w, B)\}_B$ in Section 8 in [Bo].) This implies that $z = w \in T(x, y)$. Now, suppose that l is infinite. Since the set of geodesic paths connecting any two points is locally finite, we may pass to a subsequence and assume that $\alpha_n(1) = \cdots = \alpha_n(n)$ for every n . It follows that the geodesic path α , given by $\alpha(n) = \alpha_n(n)$, connects x to z and hence $z \in T(x, y)$.

For every $x \in V(K)$, $z \in \partial K$ and $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$S(x, z, l, k) = \{\alpha(l) \in V(K) : \alpha \in \mathcal{F}(x', z) \text{ with } d(x', x) \leq k\}.$$

We observe that $S(x, z, l, k)$ is finite. For a finite subset $S \subset V(K)$, we write $\xi_S = |S|^{-1}\chi_S$ for the normalized characteristic function on S . We note that for every $x, y \in V(K)$ and $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$\{z \in \partial K : y \in S(x, z, l, k)\} = \partial K \cap \bigcup_{x'} \{T(x', y) : d(x', x) \leq k, d(x', y) = l\}$$

is Borel in ΔK . It follows that, for every $x, y \in V(K)$ and $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the functions

$$\partial K \ni z \mapsto |S(x, z, l, k)| \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial K \ni z \mapsto \xi_{S(x, z, l, k)}(y) \in \mathbb{R}$$

are Borel. The following lemma is well-known for uniformly locally finite hyperbolic graph. It also follows from Lemma 16 of [Os2] in special cases.

Lemma 7. *There exists a constant $C = C(K) > 0$ such that $|S(x, z, l, k)| < Ck$ for all $x \in V(K)$, $z \in \partial K$ and $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l > k + \delta$.*

Proof. Let α be any fixed geodesic path connecting x to z . It suffices to show

$$S(x, z, l, k) \subset \bigcup \{C(e, 6\delta) : e \text{ an edge in } \alpha([l - k - \delta, l + k + \delta])\},$$

where $C(e, n)$ is the set of circuits of length at most n containing the edge e . Choose $y \in S(x, z, l, k)$. There exists a geodesic path β connecting x' to z such that $d(x', x) \leq k$ and $y = \beta(l)$. Since any geodesic triangle is δ -thin, there exists a number $m \in [l - k, l + k]$ such that $d(\alpha(m \pm \delta), \beta(l \pm \delta)) < \delta$. Let $\gamma^\pm$ be any geodesic paths connecting $\alpha(m \pm \delta)$ to $\beta(l \pm \delta)$. Since $d(y, \gamma^+ \cup \gamma^-) \geq 1$ and $d(\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \geq 2$, the path $\gamma^- \cup \beta([l - \delta, l + \delta]) \cup \gamma^+$ do not contain a circuit which includes y . Thus, the closed path $\gamma^- \cup \beta([l - \delta, l + \delta]) \cup \gamma^+ \cup \alpha([m - \delta, m + \delta])$ of length at most 6δ contains a circuit which includes both y and an edge in $\alpha([m - \delta, m + \delta])$. $\square$

For every $x \in V(K)$, $z \in \partial K$ and n , we set

$$\zeta_{x,z}^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \xi_{S(x, z, 4n, k)} \in \ell_1(V(K)).$$

We note that the map $\partial K \ni z \mapsto \zeta_{x,z}^n(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ is Borel for every $x, y \in V(K)$. The following lemma is borrowed from [Ka], but we put a proof for completeness.

Lemma 8. *For any $d > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \partial K} \sup_{d(x, x') \leq d} \|\zeta_{x,z}^n - \zeta_{x',z}^n\|_1 = 0.$$

Proof. Let $z \in \partial K$ and $x, x' \in V(K)$ be given. Let $d = d(x, x')$ and $n \geq d + \delta$. For simplicity, we write $S_k = S(x, z, 4n, k)$ and $S'_k = S(x', z, 4n, k)$. Then, we have $S_k \cup S'_k \subset S_{k+d}$ and $S_k \cap S'_k \supset S_{k-d}$ for every $n < k \leq 2n$. It follows that

$$\|\xi_{S_k} - \xi_{S'_k}\|_1 = 2 \left(1 - \frac{|S_k \cap S'_k|}{\max\{|S_k|, |S'_k|\}} \right) \leq 2 \left(1 - \frac{|S_{k-d}|}{|S_{k+d}|} \right)$$

for $n < k \leq 2n$. Since $|S_k| \leq Ck$ by Lemma 7, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{|S_{k-d}|}{|S_{k+d}|} \geq \left(\prod_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{|S_{k-d}|}{|S_{k+d}|} \right)^{1/n} = \left(\frac{\prod_{k=n+1-d}^{n+d} |S_k|}{\prod_{k=2n+1-d}^{2n+d} |S_k|} \right)^{1/n} \geq (3Cn)^{-2d/n}.$$

It follows that

$$\|\zeta_{x,z}^n - \zeta_{x',z}^n\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \|\xi_{S_k} - \xi_{S'_k}\|_1 \leq 2(1 - (3Cn)^{-2d/n}).$$

Since $(3Cn)^{-2d/n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 9. *Let $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ be sequences in $V(K)$ which converge to respectively x and y in ΔK . Suppose that $\sup_n d(x_n, y_n) < \infty$. Then, we have either that $x = y$, or that for every sufficiently large n , all geodesic paths connecting x_n to y_n intersect with both x and y .*

Proof. We observe first that if $x \in \partial K$, then $y \in \partial K$ and $x = y$. Thus, we assume $x, y \in V(K)$. Let $C = \sup_n d(x_n, y_n)$. We claim that if $\limsup d(x, x_n) = \infty$, then $x = y$. Indeed, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $d(x, x_n) > 10C$ for all n . For any finite subset $A \subset V(K) \setminus \{x\}$, there exists $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{F}(x, x_n)$ which does not intersect with A . Composing α_n with a geodesic path connecting x_n to y_n and then discarding redundant vertices, we obtain a $10C$ -quasigeodesic path connecting x to y_n which does not intersect with A . Hence, the sequence $(y_n)_n$ converges to x . (To see this, use the equivalent topology defined by $\{M'_{10C}(x, A)\}_A$ in Section 8 in [Bo]). This proves the claim. Finally, we suppose that $C' = \sup d(x, x_n) < \infty$. Let $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{F}(x, x_n)$, $\beta_n \in \mathcal{F}(y, y_n)$ and $\gamma \in FF(x, y)$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x$, we have $\alpha_n \cap \gamma = \{x\}$ for sufficiently large n . Thus any geodesic path connecting x_n to y_n , which does not intersect x , gives rise to a circuit of length at most $2(C + C' + d(x, y))$ containing x and edges in α_n and γ . Since $x_n \rightarrow x$, this is only possible for finitely many n . The same thing for y and we are done. $\square$

3. AMENABLE ACTIONS

For every locally compact space Ω , we denote by $\text{Prob}(\Omega)$ the space of all regular Borel probability measure on Ω , equipped with the weak*-topology. In particular, if Γ is a discrete group, then

$$\text{Prob}(\Gamma) = \{\mu \in \ell_1(\Gamma) : \mu \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s) = 1\}$$

and $\text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ is equipped with the pointwise convergence topology. We note that the pointwise convergence topology coincides with the norm topology on $\text{Prob}(\Gamma)$. The group Γ acts on $\text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ from the left; $(s \cdot \mu)(t) = \mu(s^{-1}t)$ for every $s, t \in \Gamma$ and $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$. Let Ω be a locally compact space. By an *action* of Γ on Ω , we mean a group homomorphism from Γ into the group of homeomorphisms on Ω .

Definition 10. Let Γ be a discrete group acting on a compact topological space Ω . We say the action of Γ on Ω is *amenable* if for every finite subset $E \subset \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous map

$$\mu: \Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \mu_\omega \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$$

such that

$$\max_{s \in E} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|s \cdot \mu_\omega - \mu_{s\omega}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We say a group Γ is *exact* if it acts amenably on some compact topological space.

A group Γ is amenable iff the trivial action on a singleton set is amenable. If a group Γ is exact, then it acts amenably on the Stone-Ćech compactification $\beta\Gamma$. We will use the following application of the Hahn-Banach theorem in the spirit of Anantharaman-Delaroche [AD][AR]. If Γ acts on K , we denote the stabilizer subgroup of $a \in K$ by $\Gamma^a = \{s \in \Gamma : sa = a\}$.

Proposition 11. *Let Γ be a countable group acting on X , Y and K , where X and Y are compact and K is countable discrete. Assume that for any finite subset $E \subset \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Borel map $\zeta: X \rightarrow \text{Prob}(K)$ (i.e., the function $X \ni x \mapsto \zeta_x(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ is Borel for every $a \in K$) such that*

$$\max_{s \in E} \sup_{x \in X} \|s\zeta_x - \zeta_{sx}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Assume moreover that the restricted action of the vertex stabilizer Γ^a on Y is amenable for every $a \in K$. Then, the diagonal action of Γ on $X \times Y$ is amenable.

Proof. We first claim that we may take ζ in the statement to be continuous rather than Borel. Fix a finite symmetric subset $E \subset \Gamma$. For every continuous map $\zeta: X \rightarrow \text{Prob}(K)$, we define $f_\zeta \in C(X)$ by

$$f_\zeta(x) = \sum_{s \in E} \|s\zeta_x - \zeta_{sx}\| = \sum_{s \in E} \sum_{a \in K} |\zeta_x(s^{-1}a) - \zeta_{sx}(a)|.$$

Since $f_{\sum_k \alpha_k \zeta_k} \leq \sum_k \alpha_k f_{\zeta_k}$ for every $\alpha_k \geq 0$ with $\sum_k \alpha_k = 1$, if 0 is in the weak closure of $\{f_\zeta : \zeta\}$ in $C(X)$, then 0 is in the norm closure of $\{f_\zeta : \zeta\}$ by the Hahn-Banach separation theorem. We note that the dual of $C(X)$ is the space of finite regular Borel measures on X by the Riesz representation theorem. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \text{Prob}(X)$ be given. By assumption, there exists a Borel map $\eta: X \rightarrow \text{Prob}(K)$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} \|s\eta_x - \eta_{sx}\| < \varepsilon/|E|$. By the countable additivity of the measure, there exists a finite subset $F \subset K$ such that $\int_X \sum_{a \in F} \eta_x(a) dm(x) > 1 - \varepsilon/|E|$. We approximate, for each $a \in F$, the Borel function $x \mapsto \eta_x(a)$ by a continuous function and obtain a continuous map $\zeta: X \rightarrow \text{Prob}(K)$ such that $\text{supp } \zeta_x \subset F$ for all $x \in X$ and

$$\int_X \|\zeta_x - \eta_x\| dm(x) = \int_X \sum_{a \in K} |\zeta_x(a) - \eta_x(a)| dm(x) < 2\varepsilon/|E|.$$

It follows that

$$\int_X f_\zeta(x) dm(x) < \int_X \sum_{s \in E} \|s\eta_x - \eta_{sx}\| dm(x) + 4\varepsilon < 5\varepsilon.$$

Thus, we proved our claim.

Now, let a finite subset $E \subset \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By the previous result, there exists a continuous ζ such that $\sup_{x \in X} \|s\zeta_x - \zeta_{sx}\| < \varepsilon$ for every $s \in E$. We may assume that there exists a finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$ such that $\text{supp } \zeta_x \subset F$ for all $x \in X$. We fix a Γ -fundamental domain $V \subset K$ with a projection $v: K \rightarrow V$ and a cross section $\sigma: K \rightarrow \Gamma$, i.e., K decomposes into the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{v \in V} \Gamma v$ and $a = \sigma(a)v(a)$ for every $a \in K$. We note that $\sigma(sa)^{-1}\sigma(a) \in \Gamma^{v(a)}$ for every $s \in \Gamma$ and $a \in K$. For each $v \in V$, we set

$$E^v = \{\sigma(sa)^{-1}\sigma(a) : a \in F \cap \Gamma v \text{ and } s \in E\} \subset \Gamma^v.$$

Since the Γ^v action on Y is amenable and E^v is finite, there exists a continuous map $\nu^v: Y \rightarrow \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ such that

$$\max_{s \in E^v} \sup_{y \in Y} \|s\nu_y^v - \nu_{sy}^v\| < \varepsilon.$$

Now, we define $\mu: X \times Y \rightarrow \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ by

$$\mu_{x,y} = \sum_{a \in K} \zeta_x(a) \sigma(a) \nu_{\sigma(a)^{-1}y}^{v(a)}.$$

The map μ is clearly continuous. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s\mu_{x,y} &= \sum_{a \in K} \zeta_x(a) s\sigma(a) \nu_{\sigma(a)^{-1}y}^{v(a)} = \sum_{a \in K} \zeta_x(a) \sigma(sa) (\sigma(sa)^{-1} s\sigma(a) \nu_{\sigma(a)^{-1}y}^{v(a)}) \\ &\approx_\varepsilon \sum_{a \in K} \zeta_x(a) \sigma(sa) \nu_{\sigma(sa)^{-1}sy}^{v(sa)} \\ &\approx_\varepsilon \sum_{a \in K} \zeta_{sx}(sa) \sigma(sa) \nu_{\sigma(sa)^{-1}sy}^{v(sa)} = \mu_{sx,sy} \end{aligned}$$

for every $s \in E$ and $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. □

We prove Theorem 1.

Proof. We may assume that Γ is countable and K is uniformly fine. Let a finite subset $E \subset \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Fix an origin $o \in V(K)$. By Lemma 8, there exists n such that $\zeta: \partial K \ni z \mapsto \zeta_z = \zeta_{o,z}^n \in \text{Prob}(K)$ satisfies

$$\max_{s \in E} \sup_{z \in \partial K} \|s\zeta_z - \zeta_{sz}\| = \max_{s \in E} \sup_{z \in \partial K} \|\zeta_{so,sz}^n - \zeta_{o,sz}^n\| < \varepsilon.$$

For $x \in V(K)$, we set $\zeta_x = \delta_x \in \text{Prob}(K)$. Then, the map $\zeta: \Delta K \rightarrow \text{Prob}(K)$ is Borel (see the remark preceding Lemma 8) and satisfies the assumptions given in Proposition 11. Thus the action on $\Delta K \times Y$ is amenable. □

4. APPLICATIONS

Recall that a *compactification* of a discrete group Γ is a compact topological space $\bar{\Gamma}$ which contains Γ as a discrete open dense subset. We assume that the left translation action of Γ on Γ extends to a continuous action of Γ on $\bar{\Gamma}$. We have well-known one-to-one correspondence between such compactification $\bar{\Gamma}$ and a C^* -subalgebra $c_0(\Gamma) \subset C(\bar{\Gamma}) \subset \ell_\infty(\Gamma)$ which is invariant under left translation. We say the compactification $\bar{\Gamma}$ is *small at infinity* if the right translation action of Γ on Γ extends to a continuous action on $\bar{\Gamma}$ and if its restriction to $\partial\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma$ is trivial. In other words, $f^t - f \in c_0(\Gamma)$ for all $f \in C(\bar{\Gamma}) \subset \ell_\infty(\Gamma)$ and $t \in \Gamma$, where $f^t(s) = f(st^{-1})$ for $s \in \Gamma$.

Proposition 12. *Let Γ be a group which is hyperbolic relative to a family $\mathcal{G}$ of amenable subgroups. Then, Γ acts amenably on some compactification $\bar{\Gamma}$ which is small at infinity.*

Proof. By [Bo], the group Γ admits a finite quotient action on a fine hyperbolic graph K in a way that every infinite vertex stabilizer is in $\mathcal{G}$ and that $\Gamma^x \cap \Gamma^y$ is finite for any $x, y \in V(K)$ with $x \neq y$. By Theorem 1, the action of Γ on ΔK is amenable. We fix an origin o and consider the map $\Gamma \ni s \mapsto so \in \Delta K$. This map induces a compactification $\bar{\Gamma}$ such that $\bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Delta K$ is continuous. We note that Γ acts on $\bar{\Gamma}$ amenably. To prove $\bar{\Gamma}$ is small at infinity, it suffices to show that for any $t \in \Gamma$ and $f \in C(\Delta K)$, we have $f_o - f_o^t \in c_0(\Gamma)$, where $f_o \in \ell_\infty(\Gamma)$ is defined by $f_o(s) = f(so)$ for $s \in \Gamma$. We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and show that $A = \{s \in \Gamma : |f(so) - f(st^{-1}o)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is finite. Let $(s_n)_n \subset A$ be any sequence such that all s_n are distinct. Since ΔK is compact (and first countable), we may assume that $s_n o \rightarrow x$ and $s_n t^{-1} o \rightarrow y$. We note that $x \neq y$ since $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \varepsilon$. Let α be a geodesic path connecting o to $t^{-1}o$. Then, by Lemma 9, for all sufficiently large n , the geodesic paths $s_n \alpha$ intersect with both x and y . Since $\Gamma^x \cap \Gamma^y$ is finite, A is finite. $\square$

It follows from (the proof of) Lemma 5.2 in [HG] that the group Γ satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 12 is in the class $\mathcal{C}$ defined in [Oz3] (i.e., the left and right translation action of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ on the Stone-Ćech remainder $\partial^2\Gamma$ is amenable). Hence, the corresponding results in [Oz2][OP][Oz3] are applicable to such Γ .

REFERENCES

- [Ab] E. Alibegović, *A Combination Theorem for Relatively Hyperbolic Groups*. Preprint 2003.
- [Ad] S. Adams, *Boundary amenability for word hyperbolic groups and an application to smooth dynamics of simple groups*. *Topology* **33** (1994), 765–783.
- [AD] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *Systèmes dynamiques non commutatifs et moyennabilité*. *Math. Ann.* **279** (1987), 297–315.
- [AR] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault. *Amenable groupoids*. With a foreword by Georges Skandalis and Appendix B by E. Germain. *Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique* **36**. Geneva, 2000.

- [Bo] B.H. Bowditch, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*. Preprint. 1999.
- [DG] M. Dadarlat and E. Guentner, *Uniform embeddability of relatively hyperbolic groups*. Preprint 2005.
- [Da1] F. Dahmani, *Classifying spaces and boundaries for relatively hyperbolic groups*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **86** (2003), 666–684.
- [Da2] F. Dahmani, *Combination of convergence groups*. Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 933–963.
- [DS] C. Druţu, M.V. Sapir, *Tree graded spaces and asymptotic cones*. Preprint 2004.
- [Dy] K. J. Dykema, *Exactness of reduced amalgamated free product C^* -algebras*. Forum Math. **16** (2004), 161–180.
- [GH] E. Ghys and P. de la Harpe, *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d’après Mikhael Gromov*. Progress in Math., 83, Birkhäuser, 1990.
- [Gr1] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*. Essays in group theory, 75–263, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **8**, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [Gr2] M. Gromov, *Random walk in random groups*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), 73–146.
- [GK] E. Guentner and J. Kaminker, *Exactness and the Novikov conjecture*. Topology **41** (2002), 411–418.
- [Fa] B. Farb, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), 810–840.
- [Hi] N. Higson, *Bivariant K -theory and the Novikov conjecture*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), 563–581.
- [HG] N. Higson and E. Guentner, *Group C^* -algebras and K -theory*. Noncommutative geometry, 137–251, Lecture Notes in Math., **1831**, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [HR] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Amenable group actions and the Novikov conjecture*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **519** (2000), 143–153.
- [Ka] V. Kaimanovich, *Boundary amenability of hyperbolic spaces*. Discrete geometric analysis, 83–111, Contemp. Math., **347**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [Os1] D.V. Osin, *Relatively hyperbolic groups: Intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems*. Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [Os2] D.V. Osin, *Asymptotic dimension of relatively hyperbolic groups*. Preprint 2004.
- [Oz1] N. Ozawa, *Amenable actions and exactness for discrete groups*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. **330** (2000), 691–695.
- [Oz2] N. Ozawa, *Solid von Neumann algebras*. Acta Math. **192** (2004), 111–117.
- [Oz3] N. Ozawa, *A Kurosh type theorem for type II_1 factors*. Preprint 2004.
- [OP] N. Ozawa and S. Popa, *Some prime factorization results for type II_1 factors*. Invent. Math. **156** (2004), 223–234.
- [Tu] J.-L. Tu, *Remarks on Yu’s “property A” for discrete metric spaces and groups*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **129** (2001), 115–139.
- [Yu] G. Yu, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space*. Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 201–240.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, 153-8914

E-mail address: narutaka@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp